# COMPETITION LAW IN THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

October, 2001

Volume 24, Issue 10

# FRANKLIN PIERCE LAW CENTER LIBRARY CONCORD, N. H. OCT 2 1 2001

FAIRFORD PRESS  Publisher and Editor: Bryan Harris	Fairford Review: EU Reports: EU Services: Competition Law in the European Communities
58 Ashcroft Road, Cirencester GL7 1QX, UK P O Box 323, Eliot ME 03903-0323, USA	Tel & Fax (44) (0) 1451 861 464 Tel & Fax (1) (207) 439 5932
www.fairfordpress.com	Email: aobh 28@aol.com

## October, 2001

### Volume 24 Issue 10

231

250

# COMPETITION LAW IN THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

Copyright © 2001 Bryan Harris ISSN 0141-769X

### CONTENTS

226	COMMENT
	Competition in the New Economy
227	PRICE FIXING (SODIUM GLUCONATE)
	The Sodium Gluconate Cartel Case
229	EXEMPTION (PACKAGING)
	The DSD (II) Case
232	TYING AGREEMENTS (BREWERIES)
	The Courage Case
238	JOINT VENTURES (TELEVISION)
	The M6 Case
	MISCELLANEOUS

The Pirelli / Olivetti Case

The Coca-Cola / Nestle Case

### Competition in the New Economy

There is much talk these days of the "new economy" and of the part played by rules on competition in changing conditions. As Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission, Robert Pitofsky addressed these problems from an American point of Now, Mario Monti, the view. Competition Commissioner for Policy, has also tackled the question. In a recent speech in Barcelona, he identified three main issues: the between applying boundary competition law to the new economy and leaving it alone; the boundary law and between competition regulation in the telecommunications sector; and some key issues on mobile telecommunications and the progress of competition in the local loop. On the face of it, he says, three characteristics of the new economy already offer benefits to consumers and competitors alike, without interference from competition These three are an regulations. increase in transparency, a growth in the size of the markets and a reduction of transaction costs.

It is arguable that the pace of change renders the role of regulators, including competition authorities, unnecessary, because both market will correct itself. and impossible to fulfil, because the change is too rapid for a competition authority to make timely decisions. Mr Monti recognizes that a firm may not have a position of entrenched market power because its product is likely to be displaced, or rendered obsolete by technological innovation. He also recognizes that, even where a firm has entrenched market power in a particular industry, condemning it could damage incentives to innovate and would constitute a denial of the realities of market preferences. "Nevertheless, the finding that entrenched market power can exist in the new economy by definition means that the way that market power may be used should be subject to the normal rules of competition analysis."

Regulation will therefore continue to be a tool in the promotion of The link between competition. and competition regulation the Commission's reflected in current review of the regulations on electronic communications. One of the main shifts in the new framework will be the replacement of the current threshold for significant market power (based on a market share of 25%) with the dominance criterion. as it is defined by Commission practice and the case law of the Court of Justice. Technological change and new emerging activities (like those linked to the Internet) require constant review of the The criteria for assessing cases. correct definition of the relevant product and service markets and of their geographical scope is a key element is this respect; hence the Commission's current consultations on draft "Guidelines" which are intended to provide further guidance to all parties concerned about how these principles apply to electronic communication services.