

NEUMAN, WILLIAMS, ANDERSON & OLSON

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

77 WEST WASHINGTON STREET

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602-2954

312-346-1200

CABLE JONAD CHICAGO

TELEX 206433

TELECOPIER 312-346-5419

WASHINGTON OFFICE

CRYSTAL PLAZA ONE-SUITE 308

2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY

ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202-3603

703-892-8787

THEODORE W. ANDERSON
ARTHUR A. OLSON, JR.
JAMES R. DOWDALL
DONALD A. PETERSON
WILLIAM J. BIRMINGHAM
JOSEPH P. CALABRESE
GREGORY B. BEGGS
NOEL I. SMITH
JOHN J. CAVANAUGH
HARRY J. ROPER
MICHAEL O. WARNECKE
JAMES T. WILLIAMS
WILLIAM M. WESLEY
J. BRADFORD LEAHEY
GEORGE S. BOSY
HERBERT D. HART III
NICHOLAS A. POULOS
WILLIAM H. FRANKEL
JAMES P. NAUGHTON
LAWRENCE E. APOLZON

VASILIOS D. DOSSAS
EDWARD W. MURRAY
TODD P. BLAKELY
SUSAN K. BENNETT
WILLIAM P. OBERHARDT
ROBERT W. FIESELER
SANDRA B. WEISS
HUGH A. ABRAMS
RAYMOND N. NIMROD
ROGER H. STEIN
RICHARD A. CEDEROTH
PHILIP T. PETTI
ERICK D. PONADER
SIDNEY NEUMAN
FRED T. WILLIAMS
COUNSEL
VAN METRE LUND
NORMAN M. SHAPIRO
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

June 23, 1986

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. Francis X. Gindhart
Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit
717 Madison Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20439

Re: Appeals 86-1263 and 86-1334

Dear Mr. Gindhart:

Enclosed are two copies of a Designation of Parts of the Record by Magnavox-Sanders, Plaintiffs, for appendix purposes. Please file one copy of the enclosed Designation in each of the above appeals.

The Designation has been served on opposing counsel.

Very truly yours,

NEUMAN, WILLIAMS, ANDERSON & OLSON

By *Theodore W. Anderson*
Theodore W. Anderson

TWA/as
Enclosures

cc: Martin R. Glick, Esq.

June 23, 1986

Martin R. Glick, Esq.
Howard, Rice, Nemerovski,
Canady, Robertson & Falk
Three Embarcadero Center
Seventh Floor
San Francisco, California 94111

Re: Magnavox v. Activision
Appeals 86-1263 and 86-1334

Dear Marty:

Enclosed is a designation of the parts of the record which we intend to include in an Appendix. You should have already received our Appearances, Appellants' Certificate of Interest and the Statement of Issues on Appeal. We certainly believe that these appeals should be consolidated. We note in your letter of June 19, you have only proposed they be heard on the same date.

We would again urge that the parties prepare and submit to the Court a Joint Appendix. As you know, the Court encourages the parties to agree on such a document. Federal Circuit Procedural Handbook, Section 11. When I proposed such a joint effort to Marla she indicated that your preparation was in progress and I understood that and offered to cooperate fully to expedite the preparation of a single joint document. That offer remains open. The advantages of ease of handling and use for the Court and the parties are manifest. To obtain the benefit of a joint document, we are willing to do the collation and assembly and also share the costs in any appropriate manner.