

# Using Patents to Teach Engineers Innovation & Invention

---

David Orozco

Kellogg School of Management,  
Northwestern University

Engineering Enterprise Through IPRs

May 17, 2007

---

## Sanity Check

---

Why Teach Patents to Engineers?

---

## A Changing World

---

- The World *is* Flat!
  
  - Engineering may be outsourced
    - Engineering Services Outsourcing (ESO) to India can attract some \$50 billion
- National Association of Software and Service Companies,  
"Globalization of Engineering Services - The Next Frontier for India", Research Report (2006)
- 

## A New Vision

---

- The essence of strategy is difference you can preserve (M. Porter)
  
  - This applies to engineering.
-

## Goals

---

□ A need exists to:

- Differentiate &
  - Create Wealth
- 

## The Role of Patents

---

□ Patents can be used to teach  
Examples of

- Differentiated design (Dym et al., 2005)
  - Legal title to innovations with commercial significance (Conley & Orozco, 2007).
-

## Prior Research

---

- Patents are undervalued tools to teach Design & Wealth Creation opportunities. (Garris, 2001)
- 

## Garris' Findings

---

- "Patent literature shows in detail the very best design."
  - "Seeking alternative designs... is good design practice.. in the beginning of design."
  - "There is a legal minefield."
  - "keen understanding of the patent system [would allow engineering] to become a very lucrative profession"
-

## Obstacles

---

- ❑ Patents are a legal concept
  - ❑ Engineering faculty are not comfortable with patents
  - ❑ How to bridge the abyss??
- 

## The Solution?

---

- ❑ Reading lots of patents
  - ❑ Preferably those with rich histories
  - ❑ Conferences like this!
-

## Our Experience

---

- Innovation & Invention - IDEA 395
- 

## Our Experience

---

- We try to validate the findings expressed in Garris, 2001
-

## A Vast Repository of Design

---

- Patents offer a large technical knowledge stock of Prior Art
    - This is our Text!
  
  - Each patent offers a design challenge section relative to prior art, called “Background of the Invention”.
- 

## Alternative Solutions

---

- Each patent specification offers alternative embodiments.
  
  - These are reflected in the claims as well: e.g. method/product/system claims
-

## Lawsuits (aka No Trespassing!)

- ❑ Most of the selected patents have been litigated and licensed
- ❑ The claims provide legal title.
- ❑ Knowledge of the legal ground rules of the patent system offers advantages: continuations (Black & Decker Snakelight) (Conley & Orozco, 2007)

## Note: Semantic Differential

- ❑ A word on patent language, especially claims
- ❑ The semantic differential
- ❑ A good intro to relativism and its ties to design.
- ❑ Can be a fun and powerful learning tool.

## Commercial Relevance

---

- Each selected patent offers a unique insight into commercial advantage
    - Lower manufacturing cost - better features - protected business method - early stage of technology & broad claims - standards.
  - Patents teach the engineers what is patentable, how, and why they should exploit the intellectual property system to their advantage.
- 

## Summary

---

- There is a foundation for building the course as a subject around the world.
  - We need more interdisciplinary collaboration and engineering “buy-in”
  - We welcome your feedback
-

## Albert Einstein

---

- "Within every great challenge lies opportunity."
- 

## Thank You!

---

- [d-orozco@kellogg.northwestern.edu](mailto:d-orozco@kellogg.northwestern.edu)
-

## References

---

- National Association of Software and Service Companies, "Globalization of Engineering Services – The Next Frontier for India", Research Report (2006)
  - Clive L. Dym et al., "Engineering Design Thinking, Teaching, and Learning", Journal of Engineering Education, Vol. 94 No. 1 (2005)
  - Charles A. Garris Jr., "The United States Patent System: An Essential Role in Engineering Design Education", Journal of Engineering Education, Vol. 239 (2001)
  - James Conley and David Orozco, "Innovation and Invention – A Patent Guide for Inventors and Managers", Kellogg Case Series, Forthcoming Summer 2007
-