



WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH FOUNDATION

POST OFFICE BOX 37 • PHONE 257-4851 (AREA CODE 608) • 506 N. WALNUT ST.

MADISON, WIS. 53701

February 4, 1965

Prof. William H. Young
Assistant to President
20 Bascom Hall
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Re: Lichtenstein-Development
Statement

Dear Bill:

Thank you for sending us the copy of the January 22, 1965, letter from Miss Parent in which she summarized the modifications to the development statement which we discussed with them last fall.

My memo of the discussions with Clesner and Parent includes the basic points which Miss Parent has listed in her letter to you, plus several additional points of lesser interest. I believe that the supplement to the petition which we prepare will adequately cover each of the points of which Miss Parent has reminded you in her letter.

There is only one slight element of confusion. This relates to her point number 3. Soon after our meeting, Mr. Clesner supplied, as promised, paragraphs giving language which would cover two general subjects. The first of these will permit companies other than the exclusive licensee to begin the production and testing of a compound in the situation where the intended use would fall under the control of some government agency such as FDA, USDA, etc., prior to expiration of the exclusivity. (It is this paragraph to which I think Miss Parent refers in her point 3, but as you can see, Clesner's clause is broader.) His other clause is a modified "march in" right and is, on the whole, an acceptable one in my opinion.

Prof. Wm. H. Young
Re: Lichtenstein

February 4, 1965

We will be diligent in our efforts to draft a supplement to the petition which will answer all of the points raised by Miss Parent and Mr. Clesner. It will be desirable, as we understand it, to have this ready by mid-March at which time we may wish to go back to discuss this and other subjects with Dr. Price, Mr. Clesner and Miss Parent.

Very truly yours,

M. D. Woerpel
Director of Development

MDW:blk